

Reply-To: bu.edu!INFO-HAMS@WSMR-SIMTEL20.ARMY.MIL  
Subject: INFO-HAMS Digest V89 #969  
To: INFO-HAMS@WSMR-SIMTEL20.ARMY.MIL

INFO-HAMS Digest                      Mon, 4 Dec 89                      Volume 89 : Issue 969

## Today's Topics:

Laws against scanners in cars?? [was Re: Radios in crime (was: Re: (#1 in series)

## Listen to store security guards catch shoplif

mods database

Nicad rejuvenation article request answered

## Restrictions on RECEIVING signals in England....

S01MZ

S27, Tubes, tuning controls.

Date: 4 Dec 89 15:16:16 GMT

From: zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sunybcsluhura.cc.rochester.edu!rochester!

ray@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu (Ray Frank)

Subject: Laws against scanners in cars?? [was Re: Radios in crime (was: Re: (#1 in series) Listen to store security guards catch shoplif

Message-ID: <1989Dec4.151616.25909@cs.rochester.edu>

In article <1140028@hprnd.HP.COM> pat@hprnd.HP.COM (Pat Thaler) writes:

>What I wonder is how the NJ law affects me if I go there with my

>HT which has a receive band wide enough to cover police and fire

>frequencies? From what I have heard, it sounds like the law would

```
>prohibit having it in the car.  Can it be carried in the trunk?
```

>Since most HTs made today have extended receive coverage, what do

>NJ hams do?

>

>Pat Thaler KJ6PU

Of course a scanner can be carried 'somewhere' in the car. Otherwise, how do the police suggest you get it home from the store?? I think the law states that the scanner has to be some what disabled so that it cannot be in a receiving condition in the car. For example, the batteries could be removed or the scanner could be in a box, etc. Does any one know for sure?

ray

Date: Mon, 4 Dec 1989 13:06 EDT

From: Mark Bramwell 519 661-3714 <watmath!ria.ccs.uwo.ca!business.uwo.ca!

MBramwel@uunet.UU.NET&gt;

Subject: mods database

Message-ID: <8912041811.AA14727@ria.ccs.uwo.ca>

I have getting some mail regarding the mods database. It can now be accessed through the internet. If you want to grab a file, you must FTP to HAMSTER. It does not support TELNET sessions.

FTP 129.100.22.100 login as anonymous and any password will work.

The FILES are stored in the directory called MODS. Each file is some info on a particular radio. For example: TS440.MOD is info on the Kenwood TS440 HF transceiver.

Bitnet people can still access the MODS database through mail.

Send any new postings to: mbramwel@uwo.ca

TNX.

-----  
Date: 4 Dec 89 10:41 EST  
From: WMLBTAM%UCCCV1.BITNET@CORNELL.CIT.CORNELL.EDU  
Subject: Nicad rejuvenation article request answered

Date: 4 December 1989, 10:20:34 EST  
From: WMLBTAM at UCCCV1  
To: INFO-HAMS at WSMR-SIMTEL20.ARMY.MIL  
Subj: Nicad rejuvenation article request answered

Will Martin's address WMARTIN@STL-06SIMA.ARMY.MIL won't work from here, so THANKS WILL! and here's a synopsis (he sent me the text--what service!).

Several notes appeared in 23-Sep through about 4-Oct of 1988. Rusty Carruth (N7IKQ) reported on work he did with a NiCd charger circuit from Ham Radio mag on 23-Sep and 4-Oct. Gian Moda, I7SWA, submitted an extract of an article by Pat Hawker, G3VA, which appeared in Radio Communication for May, 1988, which sites one by J. Fielding, ZS5JF, which appeared in Radio ZS for September, 1987, and this set appeared on 29-Sep 1989.

Thanks, Will, and I hope this helps the several people who wanted to know if I got a reply.

Ted

=====  
Theodore Allan Morris | 231 Bethesda Avenue, ML# 574  
University of Cincinnati Medical Center | Cincinnati, OH 45267-0574  
Medical Center Information and Communications | 513-558-6046 (W), 731-3451 (H)

Information Research and Development | WMLBTAM@UCCCV1, NTS WB8VNV,  
=====| or AppleLink U1091  
Call me up and I'll talk data to ya'! | (you-one-zero-nine-one)  
=====

-----  
Date: 3 Dec 89 15:54:50 GMT  
From: virgin!ubbs-nh!noel@decvax.dec.com (N. Del More)  
Subject: Restrictions on RECEIVING signals in England....  
Message-ID: <340@ubbs-nh.MV.COM>

In article <3865@vanuata.cs.glasgow.ac.uk> pottera@cs.glasgow.ac.uk (Alan T Potter) writes:  
>In article <6563@lynx.UUCP> neal@lynx.UUCP (Neal Woodall) writes:  
>>In article <24.Nov.89.14:59:49.GMT.#7127@UK.AC.NWL.IA> Matt Brunton writes:  
>>  
>>>.....Over here in the UK the law lets you  
>>>listen to licensed radio amateurs, licensed CB operators and  
>>>broadcasters AND THAT IS IT.  
>>  
>>Do you thin this is GOOD? If you do, I feel sorry for you.....  
>>  
>>Just another reason for me to think that England is a police state. This may  
>>not be ther proper forum, but then again maybe it is....I will let the readers  
>>decide.....

Gack! England a police state?!?

You'd think that as Amateur Radio Operators we'd be more cognizant of different cultures and societies, at least more so than the average wino...

England a police state? No... different yes, different laws as well as customs and rightly so. Just think of what a bore the world would be if all countries where carbon copies of the US.

Lets look at the really nice things about England.... cops who don't carry guns, and citizens that aren't bent on shooting each other in traffic etc.... (no gun nut flames please, I'm an NRA member).

Sure some of their laws are weird, but tell me that alot of ours aren't.

Don't waste your time worrying about the folks across the pond... you've enough to worry about here, I mean our blessed government is spewing pro-democracy BS to the world while our legislators are busily eroding our fundamental rights!

Now step right up and pee in the bottle boy..... wanna see if your eligible for a pay check.

(Consider the above... as well as all of the silly friggin laws passed in the past 20 years which impose restrictions on the many due to the sins of the few).

Noel  
KC1RB

--  
Noel B. Del More | decvax!ubbs-nh!noel  
17 Meredith Drive | noel@ubbs-nh.mv.com  
Nashua, New Hampshire 03063 | It's unix me son! `taint spozed tah make cents

-----  
Date: 4 Dec 89 14:32:33 GMT  
From: att!cbnewsk!wheatley@ucbvax.Berkeley.EDU (steven.m.wheatley)  
Subject: S01MZ  
Message-ID: <1594@cbnewsk.ATT.COM>

>  
> P.S. - a tip: When KV4AM operated as T30MA in March 88, he did reply  
> to cards sent with just an SASE, but he included a photocopied note  
> to the effect that he would have liked contributions to cover the cost  
> of at least the cards, so if it turns out to be a genuine operation  
> and you want a QSL card, you might want to put a dime in the envelope  
> as well :-)

This (contribution requests) is an interesting subject, and has been one of hot debate in recent DX bulletins. As long as he indicated an interest in contributions, but sent the card anyway, I don't have a problem with this. What is scary is that some managers toss the card without a 'donation', some mail it slow boat unless a 'significant contribution' exists, etc. Being a manager, i sometimes see greenstamps to help the qsl printing costs. For a dxpedition, contributions help to pay the cost of travel, etc....which in some cases can be a major setback if you don't get the help.

I guess, that this falls into two areas...are you contributing to a QSL manager or a dxpedition effort. The first is nice, but shouldn't be a requirement (unfortunately, it sometimes is!). The second is a measure of the value of the dxpedition to you. If it was helpful, I would consider adding something to help out the

costs. I suspect a dime would be an insult, although something is better than nothing. Consider adding a few stamps (if, for the example given, the ham can use them for postage) or a greenstamp, or an additional IRC.

73, steve

--

Steven Wheatley      AT&T Consumer Products      (317) 845-3927

....!att!inuxz!wheatley

-----

Date: Mon, 04 Dec 89 17:27:27 GMT  
From: "Pete Lucas, NERC-TLC, Swindon U.K." <PJML@  
Subject: S27, Tubes, tuning controls.  
Message-ID: <04 Dec 89 17:48:15 GMT #1221@UK.AC.NWL.IA>

Yes the S27 uses acorn tubes in the front-end. 954 and 955 and so on. I've heard of at least one S27 that was converted to use nuvistors (6CW4) in the front-end to hot thing up a bit. But thats sacrilege! It wouldnt look right inside anymore.

<Nostalgia-flash begins>

OK so its not the Worlds most \*sensitive\* receiver, (5microvolts) and its frequency setting accuracy is poor, but it \*looks\* like a \*proper\* radio! The lovely yellowish glow of the dial-lights, dimly illuminating the shack, is far more restful than the harsh green glow of the LED frequency display on your rice-box. Even the faint touch of mains hum in the speaker adds to the enjoyment. It makes the thing feel alive! And i prefer free-running, spin-tuned radios to modern synthesized stuff. Who remembers the beautiful silky feel of the National HR05 dial (and the hopeless log-scale-to-frequency graphs?). Or the uniquely satisfying tactile experience of the tuning on the Collins S-line? Modern photo-chopper-synth. tuning just doesnt have the feel - its like using a machine, rather than an organic, living radio - 'keypad' frequency entry is just so IMPERSONAL!

<Nostalgia-flash ends>

PJML%UK.AC.NWL.IA@UKACRL      'You can tell a \*REAL\* radio by dropping it  
    ...-.- de G6WBJ              on the floor. If the floor breaks and the  
                                 radio still works, then its a \*REAL\* radio'

-----

End of INFO-HAMS Digest V89 Issue #969  
\*\*\*\*\*